ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಹಿಂದಿನ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಅಂತಿಮ ನಿರ್ಧಾರ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ನನ್ನ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಇರುವ ಇಫರ್ಮೇಷನ್‌ದಿಂದ ಕಂಡು ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ತಪ್ಪಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಒಂದು ವೇಳೆ ಅಂತಿಮ ನಿರ್ಧಾರವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರೆ ಚೀಫ್ ಇಂಜನಿಯರಿಗೆ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವ ಪ್ರಮೇಯವೇ ಇರುತ್ತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದರಿಂದಲೇ ಅಂತಿಮ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri G. A. THIMMAPPA GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that technical opinion is given regarding this scheme ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I am not able to know what the Hon'ble member means by technical opinion. We had referred this matter to the Executive Engineers, Hassan and Mysore Divisions. They have submitted their reports and since those reports are conflicting, we have asked the Chief Engineer to inspect and furnish a report. They are the technical persons.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question time is over.

QUESTION FOR ANSWER ON THE DAY

(but not taken up.)

Uniform rules for payment of grant towards recurring and non-recurring expenditure in respect of dispensaries vested in T.D. Boards.

*Q.—18. Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA (Palaiyam).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they have framed uniform rules for the payment of grant towards recurring and non-recurring expenditure in respect of the local and other types of dispensaries vested in the management of Taluk Development Boards in Mysore State ;

(*b*) the policy adopted in that behalf ?

*A.—Dr. K. NAGAPPA ALVA (Minister for Health).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) The policy that was in vogue in the different integrated areas of the State prior to re-organisation is being continued pending formation of a uniform procedure.

MYSORE UNIVERSITY (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1962.

Introduction.

Sri S. R. KANTHI (Chief Minister).—I beg to introduce the Mysore University (Amendment) Bill, 1962.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Mysore University (Amendment) Bill, 1962 is introduced.

Member's Representation.

†ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುನಿಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ (ಸಿರಾ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದ್ದೇನೆ. ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗ ದತ್ತವಾದ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಕಸಿದುಕೊಂಡಿದೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗದತ್ತವಾಗಿದ್ದ ಒಂದು ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ದುರುಪಯೋಗ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದೆ. ಇದು ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗಕ್ಕೆ ವಿರೋಧವಾಗಿದೆ. ವಿಷಯ ಏನೆಂದರೆ ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಂಗ್ಲೋ ಇಂಡಿಯರ್‌ಗೆ ಒಂದು ರೆಪ್ರೆಸೆಂಟೇಷನ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಆ ರೆಪ್ರೆಸೆಂಟೇಷನ್ ಇಲ್ಲದ ಈ ಸಭೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಆರು ತಿಂಗಳೊಳಗೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಅಥವಾ ಅಷ್ಟರೊಳಗೇ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿದ್ದರೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಈ ಸಭೆ ಕುಳಿತು 20 ದಿನವಾದರೂ ಆ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಗಮನ ಕೊಡದಿರುವುದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಅವರು ನಮ್ಮ ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗಕ್ಕೆ ಗೌರವ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದು ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗದ ಧ್ಯೇಯ, ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅವರು ಅಗೌರವ ತೋರಿಸಿದಂತೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಲ್ಲಿ ಮನವಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆ ಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ, ಆಂಗ್ಲೋ ಇಂಡಿಯರ್ ರೆಂಪ್ರಸೆಂಟೇಟೀವ್ ಯಾರು ಎಂಬ ಅನುಮಾನವಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಈ ವಿಷಯದ ಮೇಲೆ ಒಂದು ವಿವರವಾದ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾನು ತಮ್ಮ ಮುಖಾಂತರ ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Does the Hon'ble Chief Minister want to say anything ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂತಿ (ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು).—ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಮುನಿಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರು ಹೇಳುವುದು ನಿಯಮವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ನನಗೆ ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. I will read the Article.

> "333. Notwithstanding anything in article 170, the Governor of a State may, if he is of opinion that the Anglo-Indian Community needs representation....."

(ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ)

Of course, the Governor is going to make the appointment. But to say that the Assembly is not complete unless the nomination is made from the Anglo-Indian Community is not correct. There are vacancies in the House. It may not have been filled up for some reason. Then it does not mean that the House is incomplete. However, a decision is going to be taken very shortly and I hope a quietus will be given to the question raised by the Hon'ble Member Sri Muckannappa.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Hon'ble Members have heard the explanation rendered by the Hon'ble Chief Minister. One thing I want to tell Hon'ble Member Sri Muckannappa. I do not know whether he remembered only last night of the incompleteness of the House, or whether he was all along aware of the incompleteness of the House without the Anglo-Indian member, and still transact the business of the House. I am unable to understand. Therefore I think he seems to be more in jest rather in earnest.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, I thought till yesterday that there was an Anglo-Indian representative.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Whom did you have in mind?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—So many new members are there in the House. You yourself do not know who is who. I thought the Anglo-Indian representative was here.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I understand the *bona fide* mistake of the Hon'ble Member. But it does not alter the legal position.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—I do not think that Sri Muckannappa is so innocent of that.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The business of the House will now proceed.

Point of Order *re*: Election of Deputy Speaker.

†Sri V. S. PATIL (Belgaum I).—On a point of order, Sir.

Sir, the motion that has been asked to be moved is regarding the election of the Deputy Speaker of this Hon'ble House. The matter was once before this House, I think, on the 25th, and as the proposer and the seconder from the ruling party were absent, the motion was lost. Another motion from this side was moved in this House and this House has given a decision on that particular motion. I should like to submit that rule 274, sub-clause (2) of the Rules of Procedure says:

> "If the member when called on is absent, any other member authorised by him in writing in this behalf, may, with the permission of the Speaker, move the motion standing in his name. Such permission shall not be granted in the case of a statutory motion or motions relating to Bills.....If no member has been so authorised, or such permission is not granted, the motion, other than a motion relating to a Bill to which the provisions of rule apply, shall lapse."

The wording is "shall lapse". What is the meaning of the word "lapse"? I have referred the dictionary and found out the following meaning. Lapse means under law the termination of the right or privilege; to neglect to exercise it within the limit of time or to fail under some contingency. It means to forfeit and to lose. These are the legal meanings of the word lapse. Then, I should like to refer you to rule 271 of the Rules of Procedure.

> "A motion must not raise a question substantilally identical with one on which the Assembly has given a decision in the same session."

Then again, I should like to refer to rule 144 "Repetition of resolution".

> "(1) When a resolution has been moved and voted upon no resolution or amendment raising substantially the same question shall be moved within one year from the date of moving of the earlier resolution.
>
> (2) When a resolution has been withdrawn with the leave of